

УДК 515.12+512.58

BILYAK Y.T., KOMARNITSKII M.YA.

ON ELEMENTARITY OF RADICAL CLASSES OF MODULES OVER NONCOMMUTATIVE DEDEKIND DUO-DOMAINS

Bilyak Y.T., Komarnitskii M.Ya. *On elementarity of radical classes of modules over noncommutative dedekind duo-domains*, Carpathian Mathematical Publications, 4, 2 (2012), 197–203.

We find some sufficient conditions for a radical class of an idempotent radical in the category of modules over a Dedekind left bounded duo-domain to be axiomatisable. In the case of the integer numbers ring this result implies the Gorbachuk-Komarnitskii Theorem on axiomatizable radical classes of abelian groups.

BASIC NOTIONS

We begin with recalling some basic facts and definitions. In this paper by A we denote an associative ring with the identity $1 \neq 0$, and assume that all modules are left unitary A -modules. The category of left A -modules we denote by $A - Mod$. Recall that a ring A is called a domain if it not contains left or right zero divisors ($a \neq 0$ is a left zero divisor if there exists $b \neq 0$ such that $ab = 0$). An ideal P of A is prime if, for all elements $a, b \in P$, $ab \in P$ implies that $a \in P$ or $b \in P$. A prime ring is a ring with the zero ideal to be a prime ideal. A ring A is called left hereditary if every left ideal is a projective module. A ring A is left Noetherian if every nonempty set of left ideals has a maximal element. Similarly we can define a right Noetherian and a right hereditary ring. A ring A is hereditary if it is right and left hereditary. Also a ring A is Noetherian if it is right and left Noetherian. Next recall that a ring Q is called a quotient ring if every regular element of Q is a unit. Given a quotient ring Q , a subring R , not necessarily containing 1, is called a left order in Q if each $q \in Q$ has the form $s^{-1}r$ for some $r, s \in R$.

Let Q be some fixed quotient ring and R_1, R_2 left orders of it. Then R_1 and R_2 are equivalent if there are units $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in Q$ such that $a_1 R_1 b_1 \subseteq R_2$ and $a_2 R_2 b_2 \subseteq R_1$. If Q is a quotient ring and R is a left order in Q , then R is called a maximal left order if it is maximal within its equivalence class. A ring A is a noncommutative Dedekind domain if it is a hereditary Noetherian prime ring and is a maximal order. A left duo-ring is a ring with every left ideal to be two-sided. For noncommutative Dedekind duo-domain (see [9]) is true the following

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 18B30, 54B30.

Key words and phrases: noncommutative Dedekind domain, duo-domain, radical, ultraproduct.

Theorem 1. *If A is a noncommutative Dedekind duo-domain and P is a proper ideal of A , then there exist $a_1, a_2 \in A$ such that*

$$P = a_1A + a_2A.$$

Recall that an ideal I of a ring A is called essential if, for any ideal J of A , it holds that $I \cap J \neq (0)$. Moreover, a ring A is called bounded if its every essential ideal contains a two-sided ideal. An A -module M is said to be divisible if $Mc = M$ for any nonzero $c \in A$.

Let $r : A - Mod \rightarrow A - Mod$ be a functor. We say that r is a preradical of $A - Mod$ if r assigns to each object M a subobject $r(M)$ in such way that every morphism $M \rightarrow N$ induces $r(M) \rightarrow r(N)$. A preradical r is called a radical if $r(M/r(M)) = 0$ for every object M . A preradical r is idempotent if $r(r(M)) = r(M)$.

In this paper all radicals are idempotent. With every preradical r we can associate two classes of objects from $A - Mod$, namely

$$T_r = \{M \in A - Mod \mid r(M) = M\}$$

and

$$F_r = \{M \in A - Mod \mid r(M) = 0\}.$$

If r is a radical, then T_r is called a radical class and its objects are radical objects, while F_r is a torsion-free class consisting of torsion-free objects. These classes have such properties:

Theorem 2. *The class T_r is closed under quotient objects, coproducts and extensions, while F_r is closed under subobjects, products and extensions.*

We need (see [1]) also the following

Theorem 3. *If A is a Dedekind domain and P is its prime ideal, then, for every radical r of $A - Mod$, the module A/P is either radical or radical-free.*

Recall also some notions of the model theory. We use a language ${}_A L$ which is appropriate to the left A -modules first order language. A set of all sentences of the language which are true in a class of modules Ψ is called a theory of a class of modules Ψ and denoted by $Th(\Psi)$. A set of models of a theory T is any class of modules which satisfies all sentences from T . A class is axiomatisable (or elementary) if there is a set of sentences T such that it is exactly the class of models of T . Two modules are elementarily equivalent if every sentence which is true in one of them is true in other. Next we give notions about ultrafilters and ultraproducts.

Let I be a set. Then D is called a filter over I if D is some nonempty collection of subsets from I satisfying:

- (1) $\emptyset \notin D$;
- (2) if $S, T \in D$, then $S \cap T \in D$;
- (3) if $S \in D$ and $S \subseteq T \subseteq I$, then $T \in D$.

A filter D is said to be an ultrafilter if, for every $S \subseteq I$, it holds $S \in D$ or $I \setminus S \in D$. If $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$ is a family of all sets indexed by I , then an ultraproduct of A_i with respect to D is the quotient of $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$ by an equivalence relationship

$$f \equiv_D g \text{ if and only if } \{i \in I \mid f(i) = g(i)\} \in D$$

for any $f, g \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i$. An ultraproduct of the A_i with respect to D is denoted by $\prod_{i \in I} A_i / D$. Now we can formulate the following test of axiomatisability

Theorem 4. *A class of modules is axiomatisable if and only if it is closed under ultraproducts and an elementarily equivalency of modules.*

1 EKLOF-FISHER THEOREMS

In this section we consider theorems from [6] that are proved for commutative Dedekind domains. In view of [1], these results can be used for noncommutative Dedekind duo-domains. First of all, we recall some designations from [6]. If M is a left A -module, then M^α denotes the direct sum of α copies of a module M . If P is a prime ideal of a Dedekind domain A and M is a left A -module, then $M[P]$ will be the biggest submodule of M that has the annihilator P . Let us

$$U(P, n; M) = \begin{cases} \dim(P^n M[P] / P^{n+1} M[P]) & \text{if this dimension is finite,} \\ \infty & \text{in else case.} \end{cases}$$

$$Tf(P; M) = \begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \dim(P^n M[P] / P^{n+1} M[P]) & \text{if it is finite,} \\ \infty & \text{in else case.} \end{cases}$$

$$D(P; M) = \begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \dim(P^n M[P]) & \text{if it is finite,} \\ \infty & \text{in else case.} \end{cases}$$

It is necessary to say that we consider dimension over A/P . Let

$$U^*(P, n; M) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } U(P, n; M) = 0 \text{ and } A/P \text{ is infinite,} \\ \infty & \text{if } U(P, n; M) \neq 0 \text{ and } A/P \text{ is infinite,} \\ U(P, n; M) & \text{if } A/P \text{ is finite.} \end{cases}$$

$$Tf^*(P; M) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } Tf(P; M) = 0 \text{ and } A/P \text{ is infinite,} \\ \infty & \text{if } Tf(P; M) \neq 0 \text{ and } A/P \text{ is infinite,} \\ Tf(P, n; M) & \text{if } A/P \text{ is finite.} \end{cases}$$

$$D^*(P; M) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } D(P; M) = 0 \text{ and } A/P \text{ is infinite,} \\ \infty & \text{if } D(P; M) \neq 0 \text{ and } A/P \text{ is infinite,} \\ D(P; M) & \text{if } A/P \text{ is finite.} \end{cases}$$

We say that a module M has a bounded order if there exists $0 \neq \lambda \in A$ such that $\lambda M = 0$. Ω will denote the set of all nonzero prime ideals of a ring A . If $P \in \Omega$, then M_P will be a localization of a module M over P .

Theorem 5. *Let A be Dedekind domain and M be a left A -module. Then M is elementarily equivalent to a module $\bigoplus_{P \in \Omega} M_P \oplus M_d$, where*

$$M_P = \bigoplus_n (A/P^n)^{(\alpha_{P,n})} \oplus A_P^{(\beta_P)} \text{ and } M_d = \bigoplus_{P \in \Omega} (A/P)^{\gamma_P} \oplus K^{(\delta)}.$$

Here K is a field of fractions of a domain and

$$\alpha_{P,n} = \alpha_{P,n}(M) = \begin{cases} U^*(P, n-1; M) & \text{if it is finite,} \\ \geq k = \text{Card}A + \aleph_0 & \text{in other case.} \end{cases}$$

$$\beta_P = \beta_P(M) = \begin{cases} Tf^*(P; M) & \text{if it is finite,} \\ \geq k & \text{in other case.} \end{cases}$$

$$\gamma_P = \gamma_P(M) = \begin{cases} D^*(P; M) & \text{if it is finite,} \\ \geq k & \text{in other case.} \end{cases}$$

$$\delta = \delta(M) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } M \text{ have bounded order,} \\ \geq k & \text{in other case.} \end{cases}$$

According to the fact that a direct sum and a direct product are elementarily equivalent this theorem can be formulated as follows

Theorem 6. *Let A be a Dedekind domain. Then every left A -module M is elementarily equivalent to a module*

$$(\bigoplus_n (A/P^n)^{(\alpha_{P,n})}) \oplus A_P^{(\beta_P)} \oplus (\bigoplus_{P \in \Omega} (A/P)^{\gamma_P}) \oplus K^{(\delta)},$$

where $\alpha_{P,n}, \beta_P, \gamma_P, \delta$ are the same as in the previous theorem.

Theorem 7. *Modules M and N over a Dedekind domain are elementarily equivalent if and only if*

$$U^*(P, n; M) = U^*(P, n; N), \quad Tf^*(P; M) = Tf^*(P; N), \quad D^*(P; M) = D^*(P; N),$$

where modules M and N have a bounded or unbounded order in the same time.

2 LEMMAS

Lemma 2.1. *Let A be a noncommutative Dedekind duo-domain and let r be a nontrivial radical for which the radical class T_r is axiomatisable. If the class T_r contains a module A/P , where P is some nonzero prime ideal of A , then it also contains such modules:*

- 1) the localization A_P of A at a prime ideal P ;
- 2) the field of fractions ${}_A K$ of a ring A that is considered as a left A -module;
- 3) $\widehat{A/P'}$, where P' is an arbitrary nonzero ideal of a ring A .

Proof. The class T_r is closed under extensions, therefore $A/P^n \in T_r$ for arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let D be a countably-incomplet ultrafilter over the set of natural numbers \mathbb{N} . Then, according to the fact that T_r is axiomatisable, we obtain that

$$M = \left(\prod A/P^n\right)/D$$

belong to T_r . A module M has an unbounded order, and so

$$\delta(M) \geq \text{Card}A + \aleph_0.$$

By the Eklof-Fisher Theorem (see Theorem 2) the class T_r contains a module for which module ${}_A K$ is a direct summand. Thus K is contained in T_r as an epimorphic image. Similarly, $K/A \in T_r$. But $K/A \cong \bigoplus_{P \in \Pi} A/P$, hence $A/P \in T_r$ for every $P \in \Omega$.

Consider the case when A/P is a finite module. Then

$$\beta_P = \dim_{A/P} M / (t(M) + PM),$$

where $t(M)$ is the periodic part of a module M . Now we show that $\beta_P(M) \neq 0$. For this, we have to check that $t(M) + PM \neq M$. Let us denote by 1_n the coset in A/P^n with representative 1. We have to prove that the element $(1_1, 1_2, \dots, 1_n, \dots)$ of a module M do not belongs to the submodule $t(M) + PM$. By Theorem 2.1, $P = p_1 A + p_2 A$, where $p_1, p_2 \in A$. Thus $x = t + p_1 a_1 + p_2 a_2$, where $t \in t(M)$, $a_1, a_2 \in M$. Since the annihilator of an element t is power of an ideal P , for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain that $P^k t = 0$. Consequently,

$$P^k x \subseteq P^{k+1} a_1 + P^{k+1} a_2.$$

Let

$$a_1 = \overline{(a'_1, \dots, a'_n, \dots)}, \quad a_2 = \overline{(a''_1, \dots, a''_n, \dots)},$$

where $a'_i, a''_i \in A$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore from previous inclusion for some set of indexes $U \in D$ is true that

$$P^k \subseteq P^{k+1} a'_i + P^{k+1} a''_i + P^i \subseteq P^i.$$

Hence from $P^i \subseteq P^k$ we obtain that $P^i = P^k$, $i \geq k + 1$. But in a Dedekind ring a decomposition into a product of prime ideals is unique, so we obtain contradiction. Thus $\beta_P(M) \neq 0$. Then, from Theorem 2, T_r contains a module with A_P as a direct summand and, using previous thoughts, A_P lies in T_r .

Next if A/P is an infinite module, then, according to definition of $T^* f(P, M)$, the equality $\beta_P = 0$ is true only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \dim P^n M / P^n + 1M = 0$$

for all k , and therefore $P^k M / P^{k+1} M = 0$. From the last equality we obtain

$$P^k = P^{k+1} P^k M = P^k M$$

for some k . This equation is false for a module M and arbitrary $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Verifying of this fact is similar to those we have done early in this proof. Therefore $A/P \in T_r$. \square

Let Π be some set of prime ideals in a ring A . A module M is Π -divisible if $IM = M$ for every ideal I from Π . For every Π , a class of all Π -divisible modules is a radical class for some radical of the category $A - Mod$. This radical we will denote by r_Π .

Lemma 2.2. *A module M is Π -divisible if and only if it is elementarily equivalent to the module of the form*

$$\bigoplus_{(P \in \Omega, \Pi, n \in \mathbb{N})} ((A/P^n)^{\alpha_{P,n}}) \oplus (\bigoplus_{P \in \Omega} A_P^{\beta_P}) \oplus (\bigoplus_{P \in \Omega} (\widehat{A/P})^{(\gamma_P)}) \oplus K^{(\delta)}, \quad (1)$$

where $\alpha_{P,n}, \beta_P, \delta, \gamma_P$ are some cardinal numbers.

Proof. We consider a set of sentences of the language ${}_A L$

$$\mathcal{C} = \{(\forall x)(\exists y_1)(\exists y_2)(x = p_1 y_1 + p_2 y_2) p_1 A + p_2 A = P \in \Pi\}.$$

It is obvious that M is Π -divisible if and only if M is a model of a system of formulas \mathcal{C} . Therefore the class of Π -divisible modules is axiomatisable and, consequently, this class is elementarily closed. Since $A/P^n, A_P, A/P$ are Π -divisible for $P \in \Pi$ and K is Ω -divisible, using the fact that class of Π -divisible groups is closed under direct sums we obtain that modules of the form 1 are Π -divisible. If $\alpha_{P,n} \neq 0$ or $\beta_P \neq 0$ for some $P \in \Pi$, then a module is not Π -divisible. Hence all modules which are elementarily equivalent to it are not Π -divisible too. \square

3 MAIN RESULT

Theorem 8. *The radical class of a nontrivial radical r in the category of left modules over a noncommutative Dedekind duo-ring A is axiomatisable if and only if $r = r_\Pi$ for some nonempty subset Π of the set of nonzero prime ideals in a ring A .*

Proof. It is well known that for every prime ideal $P \in \Omega$ the module A/P is r -radical or r -radical-free. So we have in Ω two subsets:

$$\Pi = \{P \in \Omega \mid A/P \notin F_r \Leftrightarrow A/P \in T_r\}$$

and

$$\Omega \setminus \Pi = \{P \in \Omega \mid A/P \in T_r\}.$$

We have to show that if the class T_r is axiomatisable, then it contains all Π -divisible modules. It is obvious that A/P' is Π -divisible for some $P' \in \Omega$ if and only if $P' \in \Omega \setminus \Pi$. Thus every Π -divisible module of the form A/P belongs to T_r . In view Lemma 1, the class T_r contains all modules of the form: $A_P, A/Q, P \in \Omega \setminus \Pi, Q \in \Omega$ and a module K . The class T_r is closed under extensions, and so therefore A/P^n , for $P \in \Omega \setminus \Pi, n \in \mathbb{N}$, belongs to the class T_r . Hence the class T_r contains every module of the form 1. The class T_r is axiomatisable, and so it contains all modules that are elementarily equivalent to the module of such form. Therefore T_r contains all Π -divisible modules. Let M be any module from the class T_r . We have to prove that M is Π -divisible. If we suppose that this is not true, then, by the Eklof-Fisher Theorem and Lemma 1, there exists $P \in \Pi$ such that one of the invariants $\alpha_{(P,1)}(M)$,

$\beta_P(M)$ of some module M from the class T_r is nonzero. As a consequence, A/P or A_P belongs to the class T_r , where $P \in \Pi$. Since $A_P/PA_P \cong A/P$, we deduce that $A/P \in T_r$, a contradiction with the definition of the set Π . Thus $r = r_\Pi$. \square

REFERENCES

1. Горбачук Е.Л., Комарницький Н.Я. *Об аксиоматизируемости радикальных и полупростых классов модулей и абелевых групп* // Укр. мат. журн. — 1982. — Т.34, №2. — С. 512–533.
2. Комарницький Н.Я. *Об аксиоматизируемости некоторых классов модулей, связанных с кручениями* // Мат. исслед. — 1980. — Вып.48. — С. 92–109.
3. Мальцев А.П. *Алгебраические системы*. — М.: Наука, 1976. — 624 с.
4. Eisenbud D., Robson J.C. *Hereditary Noetherian Prime Rings*, Journal of Algebra, **16** (1970), 86–104.
5. Eklof P.C. *The Structure of Ultraproducts of Abelian Groups*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, **47**, 1 (1973), 67–79.
6. Eklof P.C., Fisher E.R. *The Elementary Theory of Abelian Groups*, J. Symbolic Logic, **39**, 3 (1974), 603–604.
7. Marubayashi H. *Modules over bounded dedekind prime rings*, Osaka J. Math., **9** (1972), 95–110.
8. Prest M. *Model theory and modules* (London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., Vol. 130), Cambridge Univ. Press., Cambridge, 1988.
9. Stenstrom B. *Rings of Quotients*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1975.

Lviv Ivan Franko National University,
Lviv, Ukraine
e-mail: jbilyak@ukr.net

Received 23.09.2012

Біляк Ю.Т., Комарницький М.Я. *Аксиоматизованість радикальних класів модулів над не-комутативними дедекіндовими дуо-областями* // Карпатські математичні публікації. — 2012. — Т.4, №2. — С. 197–203.

Знайдено деякі достатні умови аксиоматизованості радикального класу ідемпотентного радикалу в категорії модулів над дедекіндовою лівою дуо-областю. У випадку кільця цілих чисел цей результат має наслідком теорему Горбачука-Комарницького про аксиоматизованість радикальних класів абелевих груп.

Біляк Ю.Т., Комарницький Н.Я. *Аксиоматизируемость радикальных классов модулей над некоммутативными дедекіндовыми дуо-областями* // Карпатские математические публикации. — 2012. — Т.4, №2. — С. 197–203.

Найдены некоторые достаточные условия аксиоматизируемости радикального класса идемпотентного радикала в категории модулей над дедекіндовой левой дуо-областью. В случае кольца целых чисел этот результат имеет следствием теорему Горбачука-Комарницького о аксиоматизованости радикальных классов абелевых групп.